

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xi
	LIST OF CASES	xii
 1	 INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background of Research	1
1.2	Statement of Problem	4
1.3	Objective of the Research	8
1.4	Research Question	9
1.5	Scope of Research	9
1.6	Significance of Research	9

1.7	Research Methodology	10
1.7.1	Stage 1 – Identify the issue of the research	11
1.7.2	Stage 2 – Literature Review	11
1.7.3	Stage 3 – Data Collection	12
1.7.4	Stage 4 – Data analysis	12
1.7.5	Stage 5 – Conclusion and Recommendations	13
1.8	Organization of Chapters	14

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Purpose of Performance Bond	17
2.3	Nature of Performance Bond	
2.3.1	Similarities with Letters of Credit	18
2.3.2	Nature of Undertaking	19
2.3.3	Construction of Bonds	20
2.3.4	Demand Requirements of Bonds	21
2.3.5	No Duty of Disclosure	22
2.4	Types of Performance Bond	22
2.4.1	Conditional Bond	23
2.4.2	Unconditional Bond	24
2.5	Performance Bond in Construction Contract	26
2.5.1	Performance Bond in Standard Forms of Contracts	27
2.6	Performance Bond Claim	29
2.6.1	Grounds to Call Upon Performance Bond	30
2.6.2	Condition Precedents for Calling Performance Bond	31
2.7	Grounds of Discharge of Performance Bond	32
2.8	Restraints in Performance Bond Claims	35
2.8.1	Injunctions	36
2.8.1.1	Types of Injunctions	37
2.8.1.2	Grounds for Seeking Injunctions	40
2.8.2	Invalid Demand	44
2.9	Summary	46

3 ANALYSIS OF LAW CASES

3.1	Introduction	48
3.2	Circumstances that Restrain Performance Bond Claims in Construction Industry	49
3.2.1	Unconscionable Conduct	49
3.2.2	Prevent Irretrievable Injustice	55
3.2.3	Invalid Demand	56
3.2.4	Serious Issues to be Tried	63
3.2.5	Balance of Convenience	67
3.2.6	Fraud	69
3.2.7	Premature Contract Termination	70
3.2.8	No Right to Claim Performance Bond	71
3.3	Analysis of Law Cases	
3.3.1	Analysis on Circumstances that Restrain Performance Bond Claims in Construction Industry	72
3.3.2	Analysis on Unconscionable Conduct by Beneficiary	78
3.3.3	Analysis on Invalid Demand	80
3.3.4	Analysis on Balance of Convenience	83
3.3.5	Analysis on Serious Issues to be Tried	85
3.4	Conclusion	88

4 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1	Introduction	89
4.2	Summary of Research Finding	90
4.3	Problems Encountered During Research	97
4.4	Further Researches	97
4.5	Conclusion	98

REFERENCES	99
BIBIOGRAPHY	104
APPENDIX	105

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Summary of Performance Bond in Standard Forms of Contracts	47
3.1	Summary of the Circumstances that Restrain the Performance Bond Claim in Law Cases	72
3.2	Statistics of cases according to circumstances that restrain the performance bond claims in construction industry	76

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Research Methodology	13
2.1	Relationship among the three parties in Performance Bond	16
3.1	Statistics of cases according to circumstances that restrain the performance bond claims in construction industry	77

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

All ER	All England Law Reports
BLR	Building Law Reports
CA	Court of Appeal
CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board
CLJ	Current Law Journal (Malaysia)
DB	Design and Build
HL	House of Lords
Lloyd's Rep	Lloyd's List Reports
MLJ	Malayan Law Journal
MLJU	Malayan Law Journal Unreported
PAM	Pertubuhan Arkitek Malaysia
PWD	Public Work Department
QB	Queen Bench
SC	Supreme Court
SGHC	Singapore High Court
SLR	Singapore Law Report
WLR	Weekly Law Report

LIST OF CASES

CASES	PAGE
<i>American Cyanamid v Ethicon</i> [1975] 1 All ER 504, HL	44
<i>Bains Harding (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd v Arab- Malaysian Merchant Bank Bhd</i> [1996] 1 MLJ 425	41,42,65,68-71,75,78,84,86,93-96
<i>Bocotra Construction Pte Ltd & Ors v Attorney General (No.2)</i> [1995] 2 SLR 733 (CA)	6,44
<i>Bolivinter Oil v Chase Manbattan Bank</i> [1984] 1 WLR 392	40
<i>Chartered Electronics Industries Pte Ltd v The Development Bank of Singapore</i> [1999] 4 SLR 655	40
<i>China Airlines Ltd v Malan Air Corp Sdn Bhd</i> [1996] 2 MLJ 517	22,24

<i>Daewoo Engineering & Construction Co Ltd v The Titular Roman Catholic Archbishop of Kuala Lumpur [2004] 7 MLJ 136</i>	64,67,74,83,87,94,95
<i>Danaharta Managers Sdn Bhd v Huang Ee Hoe & Ors [2002] 3 CLJ 259</i>	20
<i>Edward Owen Engineering Ltd v Barclays Bank International Ltd and another [1978] QB 159 (CA) at 171; [1978] 1 All ER 976' [1977] 3 WLR 764</i>	5,18,19,25
<i>Elcorp Resources Sdn Bhd & Anor v Perbadanan Purajaya & Anor [1998] MLJU 555</i>	52,57,68,74,79,81,84,85,90,91,95
<i>Elcorp Resources Sdn Bhd v Perbandaran Putrajaya [1999] 1 CLJ 588</i>	43
<i>Eltraco International v CGH Development [2000] 4 SLR 290 at 299</i>	42,43
<i>Employers Insurance Of Wausau v Construction Management Engineers of Florida., Inc., 377 S.E. 2d 119 (S.C. Ct. App. 1989)</i>	35
<i>Erinford Properties Ltd v Cheshire Country Council [1974] 2 ALL ER 448</i>	39
<i>Esso Petroleum Malaysia Inc v Kago Petroleum Sdn Bhd [1995] 1 MLJ 149; [1995] 1 CLJ 283 (SC)</i>	7

<i>Falco v Alpha Affiliates, Inc.</i> , No. 97-494 (MMS), 2000 WL 727116, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7480, at 14-15	34
<i>GHL v Unitrack Building Construction</i> [1999] 4 SLR 604	42
<i>Ground Improvement Techniques, Inc. v</i> <i>Merchants Bonding Co.</i> , 63 F. Supp. 2d 1272, 1276	35
<i>IE Contractors Ltd v Lloyds Bank Plc and</i> <i>Rafidain Bank</i> [1989] 2 Lloyd's Rep 2056; [1991] 51 BLR 1	5,18
<i>IJM Construction Sdn Bhd v Cleveland</i> <i>Development Sdn Bhd</i> [2001] MLJU 99	65,68,74,84,87,94,95
<i>Intraco v Notix Shipping</i> [1981] 2 Lloyd's Rep 256 at 257	35
<i>Iowa Concrete Breaking Corp. v Jewat</i> <i>Trucking, Inc.</i> 444 N.W. 2d 865, 868 (Minn. Ct. App. 1989)	35
<i>Kalaman Offshore Sdn Bhd v Hock Hua</i> <i>Bank Bhd</i> [1999] 4 MLJ 199	45
<i>Kirames Sdn Bhd v Federal Land</i> <i>Development Authority</i> [1991] 2 MLJ 198	25,26

<i>Konajaya Sdn Bhd v Perbadanan Urus Air Selangor Bhd [2009] 5 MLJ 26; [2009] MLJU 436</i>	56,73,81,91
<i>Kvaerner Singapore v UDL Shipbuilding (Singapore) [1993] 3 SLR 350</i>	42,78
<i>Lembaga Pelabuhan Johor v Panglobal Insurance Sdn Bhd [1998] 6 MLJ 505</i>	57,74,81,92
<i>Lotterworld Engineering & Construction v Castle Inn & Anor [1998] 7 MLJ 105, 111</i>	17
<i>Malayan Assurance Alliance Bhd v Then Fah Chin [1997] MLJU 381; [1997] 1 LNS 464 (HC)</i>	7
<i>Malaysia Airports (Sepang) Sdn Bhd v Malayan Banking Bhd [2007] 8 CLJ 420</i>	45
<i>Mareva Campania Naviera S.A. v International Bulkcarriers SA [1975] 2 Lloyd's Rep 509</i>	39
<i>Merit Properties Sdn Bhd v Aktif Lifestyle Stores Sdn Bhd [2007] 5 MLJ 28; [2007] 4 CLJ 128 (CA)</i>	7
<i>McConnel Dowell Constructors (Aust.) Pty. Ltd v Sembcorp Engineers and Constructors Pte Ltd [2002] 1 SLR 199</i>	42

<i>Min Thai Holdings Pte Ltd v Sunlabel Pte Ltd & Anor [1999] 2 SLR 368 (HC)</i>	6,42
<i>Nafas Abadi Holdings Sdn Bhd v Putrajaya Holdings Sdn Bhd & Anor [2004] MLJU 148</i>	50,69,73,80,91,96
<i>Newtech Engineering Construction Pte Ltd v BKB Engineering Constructions Pte Ltd & Others [2003] SGHC 141; [2003] 4 SLR 73</i>	6
<i>Oversea-Chinese Banking Corp. Ltd. v Chng Sock Lee & Anor [2001] 4 SLR 370</i>	22
<i>Pasukhas Construction Sdn Bhd & I-Inovations Construction Sdn Bhd v MTM Millenium Holdings Sdn Bhd & Lonpac Insurance Berhad [2009] MLJU 277</i>	49,73,78,90
<i>Patel Holdings Sdn Bhd v Estet Pekebun Kecil & Anor[1989] 1 MLJ 190</i>	40
<i>Pembinaan Maluri Sdn Bhd v Prudential Assurance Sdn Bhd [1991] 2 MLJ 350</i>	62,75,81,82,92
<i>Rachman Bag Co. v Liverty Mut. Ins. Co., 46F. 3d 230 (2d Cir. 1995)</i>	35
<i>Raymond Construction Pte Ltd v Low Yang Tong & Anor (Suit No. 1715 of 1995, 11 July 1996, unreported)</i>	42,78
<i>Royal Design Studio v Chang Development [1990] SLR 1116</i>	42

<i>Samwoh Asphalt Premix Pte Ltd v Sum Cheong Piling Pte Ltd [2002] 1 SLR 1</i>	41
<i>Satriadesa Corporation Sdn Bhd v Tenaga Nasional Bhd [2009] MLJU 1404</i>	50,55,73,79,91,96
<i>Siemens Intergra Transportation System Sdn Bhd & Anor v EKD Construction Sdn Bhd & Anor [2003] MLJU 475</i>	51,64,67,74,79,84-87,90,91,94,95
<i>Suharta Development Sdn Bhd v United Overseas Bank (M) Bhd & Anor [2005] 2 MLJ 762</i>	15
<i>Sumatec Engineering and Construction Sdn Bhd v Malaysian Refining Company Sdn Bhd [2010] MLJU 1426</i>	53,61,72,80-83,91-93
<i>Talasco Insurance Sdn Bhd v Lembaga Kemajuan Pahang Tenggara (DARA) [1996] MLJU 582</i>	58,75,81,92
<i>Teknik Cekap Sdn Bhd v Public Bank Bhd [1995] 3 MLJ 449 (CA)</i>	5,7,23,44,59,75,81,92
<i>The Radio & General Trading v Wayss & Freytag [1998] 1 MLJ 346</i>	42,53,75,79,90
<i>TKM Property Sdn Bhd v Syarikat KMZ Sdn Bhd & Anor [2007] 2 MLJ 594</i>	63,67,73,83,86,93,95
<i>United Trading v Allied Arab Bank [1985] 2 Lloyd's Rep 554 at 565</i>	40